

**CSA Notice and Request for Comment**  
**Proposed Amendments to**  
**National Instrument 94-101**  
*Mandatory Central Counterparty Clearing of Derivatives*  
**and Proposed Changes to Companion Policy 94-101**  
*Mandatory Central Counterparty Clearing of Derivatives*

**October 12, 2017**

### **Introduction**

The Canadian Securities Administrators (the **CSA** or **we**) are publishing the following, for a 90-day comment period expiring on January 10, 2018:

- proposed amendments (the **Proposed Rule Amendments**) to National Instrument 94-101 *Mandatory Central Counterparty Clearing of Derivatives* (the **National Instrument**), and
- proposed changes (the **Proposed CP Changes**) to Companion Policy 94-101 *Mandatory Central Counterparty Clearing of Derivatives* (the **CP**).

Together, the Proposed Rule Amendments and the Proposed CP Changes are referred to as the **Proposed Amendments**. We are issuing this notice to solicit comments on the Proposed Amendments.

### **Background**

The CSA is proposing the Proposed Amendments based on consultations with and feedback from various market participants, and in order to more effectively and efficiently promote the underlying policy aims of the National Instrument.

The National Instrument was published on January 19, 2017 and came into force on April 4, 2017 (with the exception of Saskatchewan where it came into force on April 5, 2017). The purpose of the National Instrument is to reduce counterparty risk in the over-the-counter (**OTC**) derivatives market by requiring certain counterparties to clear certain prescribed derivatives through a central clearing counterparty (the **Clearing requirement**).

The Clearing requirement became effective for certain counterparties on the coming into force date of the National Instrument, and was initially scheduled to become effective for certain other counterparties on October 4, 2017. To facilitate the rule-making process for the Proposed Amendments, including this publication for comment, the CSA jurisdictions (except Ontario) have exempted from the Clearing requirement until August 20, 2018

those counterparties that would have been subject to the Clearing requirement on October 4, 2017.<sup>1</sup> In Ontario, the Ontario Securities Commission has amended the National Instrument to extend the effective date of the Clearing requirement for those counterparties until August 20, 2018.<sup>2</sup>

### **Substance and Purpose of the Proposed Amendments**

The purpose of the Proposed Amendments is to refine the scope of counterparties to which the Clearing requirement applies and the types of derivatives that are subject to the Clearing requirement.

The Proposed CP Changes correspond to the Proposed Rule Amendments.

### **Summary of the Proposed Rule Amendments**

Subsection 3(1) of the National Instrument currently requires a local counterparty to a transaction in a mandatory clearable derivative to submit it for clearing to a regulated clearing agency if one or more of the following apply:

- under paragraph 3(1)(a), the counterparty is a participant of the regulated clearing agency and subscribes to clearing services for the class of derivatives to which the mandatory clearable derivative belongs;
- under paragraph 3(1)(b), the counterparty is an affiliated entity of a participant referred to in paragraph 3(1)(a) and has a month-end gross notional amount under all outstanding ~~derivative~~derivatives exceeding \$1 billion, excluding derivatives to which paragraph 7(1)(a) applies;
- under paragraph 3(1)(c), the counterparty is a local counterparty in any jurisdiction of Canada, other than a counterparty to which paragraph 3(1)(b) applies, and has had a month-end gross notional amount exceeding \$500 billion combined with each affiliated entity that is a local counterparty in Canada, excluding derivatives to which paragraph 7(1)(a) applies.

Paragraphs 3(1)(b) and (c) are the subject of the Ontario amendment to the relevant effective date and the Blanket Order exemptions in all other jurisdictions, discussed above.

The proposed amendments to paragraphs 3(1)(b) and (c) of the National Instrument would exclude from the Clearing requirement a trust or an investment fund that is an affiliated entity of either (i) a participant of a regulated clearing agency who subscribes to the

---

<sup>1</sup> Blanket Order 94-501, available on the website of the securities regulatory authority in the local jurisdiction.

<sup>2</sup> See, in Ontario, Ontario Securities Commission, Amendment to National Instrument 94-101 *Mandatory Central Counterparty Clearing of Derivatives*, published July 6, 2017.

clearing services in respect of a mandatory clearable derivative, or (ii) a local counterparty whose month-end gross notional amount under all outstanding derivatives, combined with each Canadian affiliated entity, exceeds \$500 billion. As a result, those investment funds and trusts would not be subject to the Clearing requirement.

Further, in calculating the gross notional amount outstanding for the purpose of the \$500 billion threshold under paragraph 3(1)(c), the gross notional amount outstanding of an investment fund or a trust would no longer be aggregated with other affiliated entities.

In addition, the Clearing requirement under paragraph 3(1)(c) would no longer apply to a local counterparty with a gross notional amount of outstanding derivatives of \$1 billion or less excluding the notional amount of mandatory clearable derivatives to which paragraph 7(1)(a) applies.

Finally, the proposed amendments relating to Appendix A of the National Instrument would remove overnight index swaps with variable notional type and forward rate agreements with variable notional type from the list of mandatory clearable derivatives as those are not currently offered for clearing by regulated clearing agencies.

### **Local Matters**

Annex E to this notice is being published in any local jurisdiction where any additional information is relevant to that jurisdiction only.

### **Contents of Annexes**

The following annexes form part of this CSA Notice:

- Annex A Proposed Amendments to National Instrument 94-101 *Mandatory Central Counterparty Clearing of Derivatives*
- Annex B Blackline of National Instrument 94-101 *Mandatory Central Counterparty Clearing of Derivatives* showing the Proposed Rule Amendments
- Annex C Proposed Changes to Companion Policy 94-101 *Mandatory Central Counterparty Clearing of Derivatives*
- Annex D Blackline of Companion Policy 94-101 *Mandatory Central Counterparty Clearing of Derivatives* showing the Proposed CP Changes
- Annexe E Local Matters

### **Request for Comments**

Please provide your comments in writing by **January 10, 2018**. We cannot keep submissions confidential because securities legislation in certain provinces requires publication of a summary of the written comments received during the comment period.

In addition, all comments received will be posted on the websites of each of the Alberta Securities Commission at [www.albertasecurities.com](http://www.albertasecurities.com) and the Autorité des marchés financiers at [www.lautorite.qc.ca](http://www.lautorite.qc.ca). Therefore, you should not include personal information directly in comments to be published. It is important that you state on whose behalf you are making the submission.

Thank you in advance for your comments.

Please address your comments to each of the following:

Alberta Securities Commission  
Autorité des marchés financiers  
Financial and Consumer Services Commission (New Brunswick)  
Financial and Consumer Affairs Authority of Saskatchewan  
Manitoba Securities Commission  
Nova Scotia Securities Commission  
Nunavut Securities Office  
Office of the Superintendent of Securities, Newfoundland and Labrador  
Office of the Superintendent of Securities, Northwest Territories  
Office of the Yukon Superintendent of Securities  
Superintendent of Securities, Department of Justice and Public Safety, Prince Edward Island

Please send your comments **only** to the following ~~address~~[addresses](#). Your comments will be forwarded to the remaining jurisdictions:

M<sup>e</sup> Anne-Marie Beaudoin  
Corporate Secretary  
Autorité des marchés financiers  
800, rue du Square-Victoria, 22<sup>e</sup> étage  
C.P. 246, tour de la Bourse  
Montréal (Québec) H4Z 1G3  
Fax: 514-864-6381  
[consultation-en-cours@lautorite.qc.ca](mailto:consultation-en-cours@lautorite.qc.ca)

Grace Knakowski  
Secretary  
Ontario Securities Commission  
20 Queen Street West  
22<sup>nd</sup> floor  
Toronto, Ontario M5H 3S8  
Fax: 416-593-2318  
[comments@osc.gov.on.ca](mailto:comments@osc.gov.on.ca)

## Questions

Please refer your questions to any of:

Lise Estelle Brault  
Co-Chair, CSA Derivatives Committee  
Senior Director, Derivatives Oversight  
Autorité des marchés financiers  
514-395-0337, ext. 4481  
[lise-estelle.brault@lautorite.qc.ca](mailto:lise-estelle.brault@lautorite.qc.ca)

Paula White  
Deputy Director, Compliance and Oversight  
Manitoba Securities Commission  
204-945-5195  
[paula.white@gov.mb.ca](mailto:paula.white@gov.mb.ca)

Michael Brady  
Manager, Derivatives  
British Columbia Securities Commission  
604-899-6561  
[mbrady@bcsc.bc.ca](mailto:mbrady@bcsc.bc.ca)

Wendy Morgan  
Senior Legal Counsel  
Financial and Consumer Services Commission (New Brunswick)  
506-643-7202  
[wendy.morgan@fcnb.ca](mailto:wendy.morgan@fcnb.ca)

Kevin Fine  
Co-Chairman, CSA Derivatives Committee Director,  
Derivatives Branch  
Ontario Securities Commission  
416 593-8109  
[kfine@osc.gov.on.ca](mailto:kfine@osc.gov.on.ca)

Martin McGregor  
Legal Counsel, Corporate Finance  
Alberta Securities Commission  
403-355-2804  
[martin.mcgregor@asc.ca](mailto:martin.mcgregor@asc.ca)

Abel Lazarus  
~~Senior Securities Analyst~~ [Director, Corporate Finance](#)  
Nova Scotia Securities Commission  
902-424-6859  
[abel.lazarus@novascotia.ca](mailto:abel.lazarus@novascotia.ca)

Liz Kutarna  
Deputy Director, Capital Markets, Securities  
Division  
Financial and Consumer Affairs Authority of  
Saskatchewan  
306-787-5871  
[liz.kutarna@gov.sk.ca](mailto:liz.kutarna@gov.sk.ca)

ANNEX A

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO  
NATIONAL INSTRUMENT 94-101 *MANDATORY CENTRAL COUNTERPARTY  
CLEARING OF DERIVATIVES*

1. *National Instrument 94-101 Mandatory Central Counterparty Clearing of Derivatives is amended by this Instrument.*

2. *Subsection 1(1) is amended by adding the following definition:*

“investment fund” has the meaning ascribed to it in National Instrument 81-106 *Investment Fund Continuous Disclosure*;

3. *Subsection 3(1) is amended by*

(a) *replacing paragraph (b) with the following:*

(b) the counterparty

(i) is an affiliated entity of a participant referred to in paragraph (a),

(ii) is not an investment fund or a trust, and

(iii) has had, at any time after the date on which this Instrument comes into force, a month-end gross notional amount under all outstanding derivatives exceeding \$1 000 000 000 excluding derivatives to which paragraph 7(1)(a) applies; **and**

(b) *replacing paragraph (c) with the following:*

(c) the counterparty

(i) is a local counterparty in any jurisdiction of Canada,

(ii) is not an investment fund or a trust,

(iii) has had, at any time after the date on which this Instrument comes into force, a month-end gross notional amount under all outstanding derivatives, combined with each affiliated entity that is not an

investment fund or a trust and that is a local counterparty in any jurisdiction of Canada, exceeding \$500 000 000 000 excluding derivatives to which paragraph 7(1)(a) applies, and

- (iv) has had, at any time after the date on which this Instrument comes into force, a month-end gross notional amount under all outstanding derivatives exceeding \$1 000 000 000 excluding derivatives to which paragraph 7(1)(a) applies..

4. *Section 5 is amended by replacing “all” with “both”.*
5. *Subsection 7(1) is amended*
  - (a) *by deleting “the application of”, and*
  - (b) *in paragraph (a) by replacing “if” with “and”.*
6. *Section 8 is amended*
  - (a) *by deleting “the application of”, and*
  - (b) *in paragraph (e) by replacing “is” with “was”.*
7. *Section 12 is amended by replacing “offers” with “offered”.*
8. *Section 13 is amended*
  - (a) *by replacing “paragraphs” with “paragraph”.*
  - (b) *by replacing “(3)(1)(a)” with “3(1)(a)”.*
9. *Appendix A is replaced with the following:*

**APPENDIX A  
TO  
NATIONAL INSTRUMENT 94-101**

**MANDATORY CENTRAL COUNTERPARTY CLEARING OF DERIVATIVES  
MANDATORY CLEARABLE DERIVATIVES  
(Subsection 1(1))**

**Interest Rate Swaps**

<b>Type</b>	<b>Floating index</b>	<b>Settlement currency</b>	<b>Maturity</b>	<b>Settlement currency type</b>	<b>Optionality</b>	<b>Notional type</b>
Fixed-to-floating	CDOR	CAD	28 days to 30 years	Single currency	No	Constant or variable
Fixed-to-floating	LIBOR	USD	28 days to 50 years	Single currency	No	Constant or variable
Fixed-to-floating	EURIBOR	EUR	28 days to 50 years	Single currency	No	Constant or variable
Fixed-to-floating	LIBOR	GBP	28 days to 50 years	Single currency	No	Constant or variable
Basis	LIBOR	USD	28 days to 50 years	Single currency	No	Constant or variable
Basis	EURIBOR	EUR	28 days to 50 years	Single currency	No	Constant or variable
Basis	LIBOR	GBP	28 days to 50 years	Single currency	No	Constant or variable
Overnight index swap	CORRA	CAD	7 days to 2 years	Single currency	No	Constant
Overnight index swap	FedFunds	USD	7 days to 3 years	Single currency	No	Constant
Overnight index swap	EONIA	EUR	7 days to 3 years	Single currency	No	Constant
Overnight index swap	SONIA	GBP	7 days to 3 years	Single currency	No	Constant

**Forward Rate Agreements**

<b>Type</b>	<b>Floating index</b>	<b>Settlement currency</b>	<b>Maturity</b>	<b>Settlement currency type</b>	<b>Optionality</b>	<b>Notional type</b>
Forward	LIBOR	USD	3 days to 3	Single	No	Constant



rate agreement			years	currency		
Forward rate agreement	EURIBOR	EUR	3 days to 3 years	Single currency	No	Constant
Forward rate agreement	LIBOR	GBP	3 days to 3 years	Single currency	No	Constant

10. This Instrument comes into force on [*insert date here*].

**ANNEX B**

**BLACKLINE OF NATIONAL INSTRUMENT 94-101 *MANDATORY CENTRAL COUNTERPARTY CLEARING OF DERIVATIVES* SHOWING THE PROPOSED  
RULE AMENDMENTS**

This Annex sets out a blackline showing the ~~changes contained in the~~ Proposed Rule Amendments ~~set out in Annex A which is being published for comment, as compared to the~~

**NATIONAL INSTRUMENT 94-101  
*MANDATORY CENTRAL COUNTERPARTY CLEARING OF DERIVATIVES***

**PART 1  
DEFINITIONS AND INTERPRETATION**

**Definitions and interpretation**

**1. (1)** In this Instrument

[“investment fund” has the meaning ascribed to it in National Instrument 81-106 Investment Fund Continuous Disclosure;](#)

“local counterparty” means a counterparty to a derivative if, at the time of execution of the transaction, either of the following applies:

- (a) the counterparty is a person or company, other than an individual, to which one or more of the following apply:
  - (i) the person or company is organized under the laws of the local jurisdiction;
  - (ii) the head office of the person or company is in the local jurisdiction;
  - (iii) the principal place of business of the person or company is in the local jurisdiction;
- (b) the counterparty is an affiliated entity of a person or company referred to in paragraph (a) and the person or company is liable for all or substantially all the liabilities of the counterparty;

“mandatory clearable derivative” means a derivative within a class of derivatives listed in Appendix A;

“participant” means a person or company that has entered into an agreement with a regulated clearing agency to access the services of the regulated clearing agency and is bound by the regulated clearing agency’s rules and procedures;

“regulated clearing agency” means,

- (a) in Alberta, New Brunswick, Newfoundland and Labrador, the Northwest Territories, Nova Scotia, Nunavut, Prince Edward Island, Saskatchewan and Yukon, a person or company recognized or exempted from recognition as a clearing agency or clearing house pursuant to the securities legislation of any jurisdiction of Canada,
- (b) in British Columbia, Manitoba and Ontario, a person or company recognized or exempted from recognition as a clearing agency in the local jurisdiction, and
- (c) in Québec, a person recognized or exempted from recognition as a clearing house;

“transaction” means any of the following:

- (a) entering into a derivative or making a material amendment to, assigning, selling or otherwise acquiring or disposing of a derivative;
  - (b) the novation of a derivative, other than a novation with a clearing agency or clearing house.
- (2) In this Instrument, a person or company is an affiliated entity of another person or company if one of them controls the other or each of them is controlled by the same person or company.
- (3) In this Instrument, a person or company (the first party) is considered to control another person or company (the second party) if any of the following apply:
- (a) the first party beneficially owns or directly or indirectly exercises control or direction over securities of the second party carrying votes which, if exercised, would entitle the first party to elect a majority of the directors of the second party unless the first party holds the voting securities only to secure an obligation;

- (b) the second party is a partnership, other than a limited partnership, and the first party holds more than 50% of the interests of the partnership;
  - (c) the second party is a limited partnership and the general partner of the limited partnership is the first party;
  - (d) the second party is a trust and a trustee of the trust is the first party.
- (4) In this Instrument, in Alberta, British Columbia, New Brunswick, Newfoundland and Labrador, the Northwest Territories, Nova Scotia, Nunavut, Prince Edward Island, Saskatchewan and Yukon, “derivative” means a “specified derivative” as defined in Multilateral Instrument 91-101 *Derivatives: Product Determination*.

### **Application**

2. This Instrument applies to,

(a) in Manitoba,

- (i) a derivative other than a contract or instrument that, for any purpose, is prescribed by any of sections 2, 4 and 5 of Manitoba Securities Commission Rule 91-506 *Derivatives: Product Determination* not to be a derivative, and
- (ii) a derivative that is otherwise a security and that, for any purpose, is prescribed by section 3 of Manitoba Securities Commission Rule 91-506 *Derivatives: Product Determination* not to be a security,

(b) in Ontario,

- (i) a derivative other than a contract or instrument that, for any purpose, is prescribed by any of sections 2, 4 and 5 of Ontario Securities Commission Rule 91-506 *Derivatives: Product Determination* not to be a derivative, and
- (ii) a derivative that is otherwise a security and that, for any purpose, is prescribed by section 3 of Ontario Securities Commission Rule 91-506 *Derivatives: Product Determination* not to be a security, and

- (c) in Québec, a derivative specified in section 1.2 of Regulation 91-506 respecting derivatives determination, other than a contract or instrument specified in section 2 of that regulation.

*In each other local jurisdiction, this Instrument applies to a derivative as defined in subsection 1(4) of this Instrument. This text box does not form part of this Instrument and has no official status.*

## PART 2 MANDATORY CENTRAL COUNTERPARTY CLEARING

### Duty to submit for clearing

3. (1) A local counterparty to a transaction in a mandatory clearable derivative must submit, or cause to be submitted, the mandatory clearable derivative for clearing to a regulated clearing agency that offers clearing services in respect of the mandatory clearable derivative, if one or more of the following applies to each counterparty:
- (a) the counterparty
    - (i) is a participant of a regulated clearing agency that offers clearing services in respect of the mandatory clearable derivative, and
    - (ii) subscribes to clearing services for the class of derivatives to which the mandatory clearable derivative belongs;
  - (b) the counterparty
    - (i) is an affiliated entity of a participant referred to in paragraph (a),
    - (ii) is not an investment fund or a trust, and
    - (iii) ~~(ii)~~ has had, at any time after the date on which this Instrument comes into force, a month-end gross notional amount under all outstanding derivatives exceeding \$1 000 000 000 excluding derivatives to which paragraph 7(1)(a) applies;
  - (c) the counterparty
    - (i) is a local counterparty in any jurisdiction of Canada, ~~other than a counterparty to which paragraph (b) applies, and~~
    - (ii) is not an investment fund or a trust,
    - (iii) ~~(ii)~~ has had, at any time after the date on which this Instrument comes into force, a month-end gross notional amount under all outstanding derivatives, combined with each affiliated entity that is not an investment fund or a trust and that is a local counterparty in any jurisdiction of Canada, exceeding \$500 000 000 000 excluding

derivatives to which paragraph 7(1)(a) applies—, and

(iv) has had, at any time after the date on which this Instrument comes into force, a month-end gross notional amount under all outstanding derivatives exceeding \$1 000 000 000 excluding derivatives to which paragraph 7(1)(a) applies.

- (2) Unless paragraph (1)(a) applies, a local counterparty to which paragraph (1)(b) or (1)(c) applies is not required to submit a mandatory clearable derivative for clearing to a regulated clearing agency if the transaction in the mandatory clearable derivative was executed before the 90<sup>th</sup> day after the end of the month in which the month-end gross notional amount first exceeded the amount specified in subparagraph (1)(b)(ii) or (1)(c)(ii), as applicable.
- (3) Unless subsection (2) applies, a local counterparty to which subsection (1) applies must submit a mandatory clearable derivative for clearing no later than
  - (a) the end of the day of execution if the transaction is executed during the business hours of the regulated clearing agency, or
  - (b) the end of the next business day if the transaction is executed after the business hours of the regulated clearing agency.
- (4) A local counterparty to which subsection (1) applies must submit the mandatory clearable derivative for clearing in accordance with the rules of the regulated clearing agency, as amended from time to time.
- (5) A counterparty that is a local counterparty solely pursuant to paragraph (b) of the definition of “local counterparty” in section 1 is exempt from this section if the mandatory clearable derivative is submitted for clearing in accordance with the law of a foreign jurisdiction to which the counterparty is subject, set out in Appendix B.

#### **Notice of rejection**

4. If a regulated clearing agency rejects a mandatory clearable derivative submitted for clearing, the regulated clearing agency must immediately notify each local counterparty to the mandatory clearable derivative.

#### **Public disclosure of clearable and mandatory clearable derivatives**

5. A regulated clearing agency must do ~~at~~both of the following:
  - (a) publish a list of each derivative or class of derivatives for which the regulated clearing agency offers clearing services and state whether each derivative or class of derivatives is a mandatory clearable derivative;

- (b) make the list accessible to the public at no cost on its website.

**PART 3**  
**EXEMPTIONS FROM MANDATORY CENTRAL COUNTERPARTY**  
**CLEARING**

**Non-application**

6. This Instrument does not apply to the following counterparties:
- (a) the government of Canada, the government of a jurisdiction of Canada or the government of a foreign jurisdiction;
  - (b) a crown corporation for which the government of the jurisdiction where the crown corporation was constituted is liable for all or substantially all the liabilities;
  - (c) a person or company wholly owned by one or more governments referred to in paragraph (a) if the government or governments are liable for all or substantially all the liabilities of the person or company;
  - (d) the Bank of Canada or a central bank of a foreign jurisdiction;
  - (e) the Bank for International Settlements;
  - (f) the International Monetary Fund.

**Intragroup exemption**

7. (1) A local counterparty is exempt from ~~the application of~~ section 3, with respect to a mandatory clearable derivative, if all of the following apply:
- (a) the mandatory clearable derivative is between a counterparty and an affiliated entity of the counterparty ~~if~~and each of the counterparty and the affiliated entity are consolidated as part of the same audited consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with “accounting principles” as defined in National Instrument 52-107 *Acceptable Accounting Principles and Auditing Standards*;
  - (b) both counterparties to the mandatory clearable derivative agree to rely on this exemption;
  - (c) the mandatory clearable derivative is subject to a centralized risk management program reasonably designed to assist in monitoring and

managing the risks associated with the derivative between the counterparties through evaluation, measurement and control procedures;

- (d) there is a written agreement between the counterparties setting out the terms of the mandatory clearable derivative between the counterparties.
- (2) No later than the 30<sup>th</sup> day after a local counterparty first relies on subsection (1) in respect of a mandatory clearable derivative with a counterparty, the local counterparty must deliver electronically to the regulator or securities regulatory authority a completed Form 94-101F1 *Intragroup Exemption*.
- (3) No later than the 10<sup>th</sup> day after a local counterparty becomes aware that the information in a previously delivered Form 94-101F1 *Intragroup Exemption* is no longer accurate, the local counterparty must deliver or cause to be delivered electronically to the regulator or securities regulatory authority an amended Form 94-101F1 *Intragroup Exemption*.

#### **Multilateral portfolio compression exemption**

- 8. A local counterparty is exempt from ~~the application of~~ section 3, with respect to a mandatory clearable derivative resulting from a multilateral portfolio compression exercise, if all of the following apply:
  - (a) the mandatory clearable derivative is entered into as a result of more than 2 counterparties changing or terminating and replacing existing derivatives;
  - (b) the existing derivatives do not include a mandatory clearable derivative entered into after the effective date on which the class of derivatives became a mandatory clearable derivative;
  - (c) the existing derivatives were not cleared by a clearing agency or clearing house;
  - (d) the mandatory clearable derivative is entered into by the same counterparties as the existing derivatives;
  - (e) the multilateral portfolio compression exercise ~~is~~was conducted by an independent third-party.



## **Recordkeeping**

9. (1) A local counterparty to a mandatory clearable derivative that relied on section 7 or 8 with respect to a mandatory clearable derivative must keep records demonstrating that the conditions referred to in those sections, as applicable, were satisfied.
- (2) The records required to be maintained under subsection (1) must be kept in a safe location and in a durable form for a period of
  - (a) except in Manitoba, 7 years following the date on which the mandatory clearable derivative expires or is terminated, and
  - (b) in Manitoba, 8 years following the date on which the mandatory clearable derivative expires or is terminated.

## **PART 4 MANDATORY CLEARABLE DERIVATIVES**

### **Submission of information on derivatives clearing services provided by a regulated clearing agency**

10. No later than the 10<sup>th</sup> day after a regulated clearing agency first offers clearing services for a derivative or class of derivatives, the regulated clearing agency must deliver electronically to the regulator or securities regulatory authority a completed Form 94-101F2 *Derivatives Clearing Services*, identifying the derivative or class of derivatives.

## **PART 5 EXEMPTION**

### **Exemption**

11. (1) The regulator or the securities regulatory authority may grant an exemption to this Instrument, in whole or in part, subject to such conditions or restrictions as may be imposed in the exemption.
- (2) Despite subsection (1), in Ontario, only the regulator may grant an exemption.
- (3) Except in Alberta and Ontario, an exemption referred to in subsection (1) is granted under the statute referred to in Appendix B of National Instrument 14-101 *Definitions* opposite the name of the local jurisdiction.

**PART 6  
TRANSITION AND EFFECTIVE DATE**

**Transition – regulated clearing agency filing requirement**

12. No later than May 4, 2017, a regulated clearing agency must deliver electronically to the regulator or securities regulatory authority a completed Form 94-101F2 *Derivatives Clearing Services*, identifying all derivatives or classes of derivatives for which it ~~offers~~offered clearing services on April 4, 2017.

**Transition – certain counterparties' submission for clearing**

13. A counterparty specified in ~~paragraphs~~paragraph 3(1)(b) or (c) to which paragraph ~~(3)~~(1)(a) does not apply is not required to submit a mandatory clearable derivative for clearing to a regulated clearing agency until October 4, 2017.

**Effective date**

14. (1) This Instrument comes into force on April 4, 2017.
- (2) In Saskatchewan, despite subsection (1), if these regulations are filed with the Registrar of Regulations after April 4, 2017, these regulations come into force on the day on which they are filed with the Registrar of Regulations.

**APPENDIX A  
TO  
NATIONAL INSTRUMENT 94-101**

***MANDATORY CENTRAL COUNTERPARTY CLEARING OF DERIVATIVES*  
MANDATORY CLEARABLE DERIVATIVES  
(Subsection 1(1))**

**Interest Rate Swaps**

<b>Type</b>	<b>Floating index</b>	<b>Settlement currency</b>	<b>Maturity</b>	<b>Settlement currency type</b>	<b>Optionality</b>	<b>Notional type</b>
Fixed-to-floating	CDOR	CAD	28 days to 30 years	Single currency	No	Constant or variable
Fixed-to-floating	LIBOR	USD	28 days to 50 years	Single currency	No	Constant or variable
Fixed-to-floating	EURIBOR	EUR	28 days to 50 years	Single currency	No	Constant or variable
Fixed-to-floating	LIBOR	GBP	28 days to 50 years	Single currency	No	Constant or variable
Basis	LIBOR	USD	28 days to 50 years	Single currency	No	Constant or variable
Basis	EURIBOR	EUR	28 days to 50 years	Single currency	No	Constant or variable
Basis	LIBOR	GBP	28 days to 50 years	Single currency	No	Constant or variable
Overnight index swap	CORRA	CAD	7 days to 2 years	Single currency	No	Constant or variable
Overnight index swap	FedFunds	USD	7 days to 3 years	Single currency	No	Constant or variable
Overnight index swap	EONIA	EUR	7 days to 3 years	Single currency	No	Constant or variable
Overnight	SONIA	GBP	7 days to 3	Single	No	Constant

index swap			years	currency		☒ variable
------------	--	--	-------	----------	--	---------------

**Forward Rate Agreements**

Type	Floating index	Settlement currency	Maturity	Settlement currency type	Optionality	Notional type
Forward rate agreement	LIBOR	USD	3 days to 3 years	Single currency	No	Constant ☒ variable
Forward rate agreement	EURIBOR	EUR	3 days to 3 years	Single currency	No	Constant ☒ variable
Forward rate agreement	LIBOR	GBP	3 days to 3 years	Single currency	No	Constant ☒ variable

**APPENDIX B  
TO  
NATIONAL INSTRUMENT 94-101  
*MANDATORY CENTRAL COUNTERPARTY CLEARING OF DERIVATIVES***

**LAWS, REGULATIONS OR INSTRUMENTS OF FOREIGN  
JURISDICTIONS APPLICABLE FOR SUBSTITUTED COMPLIANCE  
(Subsection 3(5))**

<b>Foreign jurisdiction</b>	<b>Laws, regulations or instruments</b>
European Union	Regulation (EU) No 648/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 4 July 2012 on OTC derivatives, central counterparties and trade repositories
United States of America	Clearing Requirement and Related Rules, 17 C.F.R. pt. 50

**FORM 94-101F1**  
***INTRAGROUP EXEMPTION***

**Type of Filing:**                     **INITIAL**                     **AMENDMENT**

**Section 1 – Information on the entity delivering this Form**

1. Provide the following information with respect to the entity delivering this Form:

Full legal name:  
Name under which it conducts business, if different:

Head office  
Address:  
Mailing address (if different):  
Telephone:  
Website:

Contact employee  
Name and title:  
Telephone:  
Email:

Other offices  
Address:  
Telephone:  
Email:

Canadian counsel (if applicable)  
Firm name:  
Contact name:  
Telephone:  
Email:

2. In addition to providing the information required in item 1, if this Form is delivered for the purpose of reporting a name change on behalf of the entity referred to in item 1, provide the following information:

Previous full legal name:  
Previous name under which the entity conducted business:

**Section 2 – Combined notification on behalf of counterparties within the group to which the entity delivering this Form belongs**

1. For the mandatory clearable derivatives to which this Form relates, provide all of the following information in the table below:

(a) the legal entity identifier of each counterparty in the same manner as required under the following instruments:

- (i) in Alberta, British Columbia, New Brunswick, Newfoundland and Labrador, the Northwest Territories, Nova Scotia, Nunavut, Prince Edward Island, Saskatchewan and Yukon, *Multilateral Instrument 96-101 Trade Repositories and Derivatives Data Reporting*,
- (ii) in Manitoba, *Manitoba Securities Commission Rule 91-507 Trade Repositories and Derivatives Data Reporting*,
- (iii) in Ontario, *Ontario Securities Commission Rule 91-507 Trade Repositories and Derivatives Data Reporting*, and
- (iv) in Québec, *Regulation 91-507 respecting Trade Repositories and Derivatives Data Reporting*;

(b) whether each counterparty is a local counterparty in a jurisdiction of Canada.

Pairs	LEI of counterparty 1	Jurisdiction(s) of Canada in which counterparty 1 is a local counterparty	LEI of counterparty 2	Jurisdiction(s) of Canada in which counterparty 2 is a local counterparty
1				

2. Describe the ownership and control structure of the counterparties identified in item 1.

**Section 3 – Certification**

I certify that I am authorized to deliver this Form on behalf of the entity delivering this Form and on behalf of the counterparties identified in Section 2 of this Form and that the information in this Form is true and correct.

DATED at \_\_\_\_\_ this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Print name of authorized person)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Print title of authorized person)

---

(Signature of authorized person)

---

(Email)

---

(Phone number)



**FORM 94-101F2**  
***DERIVATIVES CLEARING SERVICES***

**Type of Filing:**                     **INITIAL**                     **AMENDMENT**

**Section 1 – Regulated clearing agency information**

1. Full name of regulated clearing agency:
2. Contact information of person authorized to deliver this form  
Name and title:  
Telephone:  
Email:

**Section 2 – Description of derivatives**

1. Identify each derivative or class of derivatives for which the regulated clearing agency offers clearing services in respect of which a Form 94-101F2 has not previously been delivered.
2. For each derivative or class of derivatives referred to in item 1, describe all significant attributes of the derivative or class of derivatives including
  - (a) the standard practices for managing life-cycle events associated with the derivative or class of derivatives, as defined in the following instruments:
    - (i) in Alberta, British Columbia, New Brunswick, Newfoundland and Labrador, the Northwest Territories, Nova Scotia, Nunavut, Prince Edward Island, Saskatchewan and Yukon, *Multilateral Instrument 96-101 Trade Repositories and Derivatives Data Reporting*;
    - (ii) in Manitoba, *Manitoba Securities Commission Rule 91-507 Trade Repositories and Derivatives Data Reporting*;
    - (iii) in Ontario, *Ontario Securities Commission Rule 91-507 Trade Repositories and Derivatives Data Reporting*;
    - (iv) in Québec, *Regulation 91-507 respecting Trade Repositories and Derivatives Data Reporting*,
  - (b) the extent to which the transaction is confirmable electronically,
  - (c) the degree of standardization of the contractual terms and operational processes,

- (d) the market for the derivative or class of derivatives, including its participants, and
  - (e) the availability of pricing and liquidity of the derivative or class of derivatives within Canada and internationally.
3. Describe the impact of providing clearing services for each derivative or class of derivatives referred to in item 1 on the regulated clearing agency's risk management framework and financial resources, including the protection of the regulated clearing agency on the default of a participant and the effect of the default on the other participants.
  4. Describe the impact, if any, on the regulated clearing agency's ability to comply with its regulatory obligations should the regulator or securities regulatory authority determine a derivative or class of derivatives referred to in item 1 to be a mandatory clearable derivative.
  5. Describe the clearing services offered for each derivative or class of derivatives referred to in item 1.
  6. If applicable, attach a copy of every notice the regulated clearing agency provided to its participants for consultation on the launch of the clearing service for a derivative or class of derivatives referred to in item 1 and a summary of concerns received in response to the notice.

**Section 3 – Certification**

**CERTIFICATE OF REGULATED CLEARING AGENCY**

I certify that I am authorized to deliver this form on behalf of the regulated clearing agency named below and that the information in this form is true and correct.

DATED at \_\_\_\_\_ this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Print name of regulated clearing agency)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Print name of authorized person)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Print title of authorized person)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Signature of authorized person)



~~ANNEXE C~~ ANNEX C

**PROPOSED CHANGES TO  
COMPANION POLICY 94-101 MANDATORY CENTRAL COUNTERPARTY  
CLEARING OF DERIVATIVES**

- 1. Companion Policy 94-101 Mandatory Central Counterparty Clearing of Derivatives is changed by this Document.*
- 2. Subsection 3(1) is replaced with the following:*

**Subsection 3(1) – Duty to submit for clearing**

The duty to submit a mandatory clearable derivative for clearing to a regulated clearing agency only applies at the time the transaction is executed. If a derivative or class of derivatives is determined to be a mandatory clearable derivative after the date of execution of a transaction in that derivative or class of derivatives, we would not expect a local counterparty to submit the mandatory clearable derivative for clearing. Therefore, we would not expect a local counterparty to clear a mandatory clearable derivative entered into as a result of a counterparty exercising a swaption that was entered into before the effective date of the Instrument or the date on which the derivative became a mandatory clearable derivative. Similarly, we would not expect a local counterparty to clear an extendible swap that was entered into before the effective date of the Instrument or the date on which the derivative became a mandatory clearable derivative and extended in accordance with the terms of the contract after such date.

However, if after a derivative or class of derivatives is determined to be a mandatory clearable derivative, there is another transaction in that same derivative, including a material amendment to a previous transaction (as discussed in subsection 1(1) above), that derivative will be subject to the mandatory central counterparty clearing requirement.

Where a derivative is not subject to the mandatory central counterparty clearing requirement but the derivative is clearable through a regulated clearing agency, the counterparties have the option to submit the derivative for clearing at any time. For a complex swap with non-standard terms that regulated clearing agencies cannot accept for clearing, adherence to the Instrument would not require market participants to structure such derivative in a particular manner or disentangle the derivative in order to clear the component which is a mandatory clearable derivative if it serves legitimate business purposes. However, considering that it would not require disentangling, we would expect the component of a packaged transaction that is a mandatory clearable derivative to be cleared.

For a local counterparty that is not a participant of a regulated clearing agency, we have used the phrase “cause to be submitted” to refer to the local counterparty’s obligation. In order to comply with subsection (1), a local counterparty would need to have arrangements

in place with a participant for clearing services in advance of entering into a mandatory clearable derivative.

A transaction in a mandatory clearable derivative is required to be cleared when at least one of the counterparties is a local counterparty and one or more of paragraphs (a), (b) or (c) apply to both counterparties. For example, a local counterparty under any of paragraphs (a), (b) or (c) must clear a mandatory clearable derivative entered into with another local counterparty under any of paragraphs (a), (b) or (c). As a further example, a local counterparty under any of paragraphs (a), (b) or (c) must also clear a mandatory clearable derivative with a foreign counterparty under paragraphs (a) or (b). For instance, a local counterparty that is an affiliated entity of a foreign participant would be subject to mandatory central counterparty clearing for a mandatory clearable derivative with a foreign counterparty that is an affiliated entity of another foreign participant considering that there is one local counterparty to the transaction and both counterparties meet the criteria under paragraph (b).

An investment fund or a trust would not be subject to the Instrument unless the investment fund or the trust is a participant under paragraph (a).

A local counterparty that has not had a month-end gross notional amount of outstanding derivatives exceeding the \$1 billion threshold in subparagraph (b)(iii) or (c)(iv), for any month following the entry into force of the Instrument, would not be subject to the Instrument.

Pursuant to paragraph (c) a local counterparty that is not an investment fund or a trust and that has had a month-end gross notional amount of outstanding derivatives that exceeds the \$500 billion threshold in subparagraph (c)(iii) must clear a mandatory clearable derivative entered into with another counterparty that meets the criteria under paragraph (a), (b) or (c). In order to determine whether the \$500 billion threshold in subparagraph (c)(iii) is exceeded, a local counterparty must add the gross notional amount of all outstanding derivatives of its affiliated entities that are also local counterparties, to its own. However, investments funds and trusts that are affiliated entities of the local counterparty are not included in the calculation.

Where a local counterparty is a member of a group of affiliated entities that exceeds the \$500 billion threshold but that local counterparty is not itself over the \$1 billion threshold in subparagraph (c)(iv), it is not required to clear.

The calculation of the gross notional amount outstanding under paragraphs (b) and (c) excludes derivatives with affiliated entities whose financial statements are prepared on a consolidated basis.

A local counterparty that is a participant at a regulated clearing agency, but does not subscribe to clearing services for the class of derivatives to which the mandatory clearable derivative belongs would still be required to clear if it is subject to paragraph (c).

A local counterparty subject to mandatory central counterparty clearing that engages in a mandatory clearable derivative is responsible for determining whether the other counterparty is also subject to mandatory central counterparty clearing. To do so, the local counterparty may rely on the factual statements made by the other counterparty, provided that it does not have reasonable grounds to believe that such statements are false.

We would not expect that all the counterparties of a local counterparty provide their status as most counterparties would not be subject to the Instrument. However, a local counterparty cannot rely on the absence of a declaration from a counterparty to avoid the requirement to clear. Instead, when no information is provided by a counterparty, the local counterparty may use factual statements or available information to assess whether the mandatory clearable derivative is required to be cleared in accordance with the Instrument.

We would expect counterparties subject to the Instrument to exercise reasonable judgement in determining whether a person or company may be near or above the thresholds set out in paragraphs (b) and (c). We would expect a counterparty subject to the Instrument to solicit confirmation from its counterparty where there is reasonable basis to believe that the counterparty may be near or above any of the thresholds.

The status of a counterparty under this subsection should be determined before entering into a mandatory clearable derivative. We would not expect a local counterparty to clear a mandatory clearable derivative entered into after the Instrument came into effect, but before one of the counterparties was captured under one of paragraphs (a), (b) or (c) unless there is a material amendment to the derivative.

3. These changes become effective on [\[insert date here\]](#).

## ANNEX D

### **BLACKLINE OF COMPANION POLICY 94-101 *MANDATORY CENTRAL COUNTERPARTY CLEARING OF DERIVATIVES* SHOWING THE PROPOSED CP CHANGES**

This Annex sets out a blackline showing the ~~changes contained in the proposed Companion Policy set out in Annex C which is being published for comment, as compared to the existing~~ [Proposed](#)

### **COMPANION POLICY 94-101 *MANDATORY CENTRAL COUNTERPARTY CLEARING OF DERIVATIVES***

#### **GENERAL COMMENTS**

##### **Introduction**

This Companion Policy sets out how the Canadian Securities Administrators (the “CSA” or “we”) interpret or apply the provisions of National Instrument 94-101 *Mandatory Central Counterparty Clearing of Derivatives* (“NI 94-101” or the “Instrument”) and related securities legislation.

The numbering of Parts and sections in this Companion Policy correspond to the numbering in NI 94-101. Any specific guidance on sections in NI 94-101 appears immediately after the section heading. If there is no guidance for a section, the numbering in this Companion Policy will skip to the next provision that does have guidance.

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS**

Unless defined in NI 94-101 or explained in this Companion Policy, terms used in NI 94-101 and in this Companion Policy have the meaning given to them in the securities legislation of the jurisdiction including National Instrument 14-101 *Definitions*.

In this Companion Policy, “Product Determination Rule” means,

in Alberta, British Columbia, New Brunswick, Newfoundland and Labrador, the Northwest Territories, Nova Scotia, Nunavut, Prince Edward Island, Saskatchewan and Yukon, Multilateral Instrument 91-101 *Derivatives: Product Determination*,

in Manitoba, Manitoba Securities Commission Rule 91-506 *Derivatives: Product Determination*,

in Ontario, Ontario Securities Commission Rule 91-506 *Derivatives: Product Determination*, and

in Québec, Regulation 91-506 respecting Derivatives Determination.

In this Companion Policy, “TR Instrument” means,

in Alberta, British Columbia, New Brunswick, Newfoundland and Labrador, the Northwest Territories, Nova Scotia, Nunavut, Prince Edward Island, Saskatchewan and Yukon, Multilateral Instrument 96-101 *Trade Repositories and Derivatives Data Reporting*,

in Manitoba, Manitoba Securities Commission Rule 91-507 *Trade Repositories and Derivatives Data Reporting*,

in Ontario, Ontario Securities Commission Rule 91-507 *Trade Repositories and Derivatives Data Reporting*, and

in Québec, Regulation 91-507 respecting Trade Repositories and Derivatives Data Reporting.

## **PART 1 DEFINITIONS AND INTERPRETATION**

### **Subsection 1(1) – Definition of “participant”**

A “participant” of a regulated clearing agency is bound by the rules and procedures of the regulated clearing agency due to the contractual agreement with the regulated clearing agency.

### **Subsection 1(1) – Definition of “regulated clearing agency”**

It is intended that only a “regulated clearing agency” that acts as a central counterparty for over-the-counter derivatives be subject to the Instrument. The purpose of paragraph (a) of this definition is to allow, for certain enumerated jurisdictions, a mandatory clearable derivative involving a local counterparty in one of the listed jurisdictions to be submitted to a clearing agency that is not yet recognized or exempted in the local jurisdiction, but that is recognized or exempted in another jurisdiction of Canada. Paragraph (a) does not supersede any provision of the securities legislation of a local jurisdiction with respect to any recognition requirements for a person or company that is carrying on the business of a clearing agency in the local jurisdiction.

### **Subsection 1(1) – Definition of “transaction”**



The Instrument uses the term “transaction” rather than the term “trade” in part to reflect that “trade” is defined in the securities legislation of some jurisdictions as including the termination of a derivative. We do not think the termination of a derivative should trigger mandatory central counterparty clearing. Similarly, the definition of transaction in NI 94-101 excludes a novation resulting from the submission of a derivative to a clearing agency or clearing house as this is already a cleared transaction. Finally, the definition of “transaction” is not the same as the definition found in the TR Instrument as the latter does not include a material amendment since the TR Instrument expressly provides that an amendment must be reported.

In the definition of “transaction”, the expression “material amendment” is used to determine whether there is a new transaction, considering that only new transactions will be subject to mandatory central counterparty clearing under NI 94-101. If a derivative that existed prior to the coming into force of NI 94-101 is materially amended after NI 94-101 is effective, that amendment will trigger the mandatory central counterparty clearing requirement, if applicable, as it would be considered a new transaction. A material amendment is one that changes information that would reasonably be expected to have a significant effect on the derivative’s attributes, including its notional amount, the terms and conditions of the contract evidencing the derivative, the trading methods or the risks related to its use, but excluding information that is likely to have an effect on the market price or value of its underlying interest. We will consider several factors when determining whether a modification to an existing derivative is a material amendment. Examples of a modification to an existing derivative that would be a material amendment include any modification which would result in a significant change in the value of the derivative, differing cash flows, a change to the method of settlement or the creation of upfront payments.

## **PART 2**

### **MANDATORY CENTRAL COUNTERPARTY CLEARING**

#### **Subsection 3(1) – Duty to submit for clearing**

The duty to submit a mandatory clearable derivative for clearing to a regulated clearing agency only applies at the time the transaction is executed. If a derivative or class of derivatives is determined to be a mandatory clearable derivative after the date of execution of a transaction in that derivative or class of derivatives, we would not expect a local counterparty to submit the mandatory clearable derivative for clearing. Therefore, we would not expect a local counterparty to clear a mandatory clearable derivative entered into as a result of a counterparty exercising a swaption that was entered into before the effective date of the Instrument or the date on which the derivative became a mandatory clearable derivative. Similarly, we would not expect a local counterparty to clear an extendible swap that was entered into before the effective date of the Instrument or the date on which the derivative became a mandatory clearable derivative and extended in accordance with the terms of the contract after such date.

However, if after a derivative or class of derivatives is determined to be a mandatory clearable derivative, there is another transaction in that same derivative, including a material amendment to a previous transaction (as discussed in subsection 1(1) above), that derivative will be subject to the mandatory central counterparty clearing requirement.

Where a derivative is not subject to the mandatory central counterparty clearing requirement but the derivative is clearable through a regulated clearing agency, the counterparties have the option to submit the derivative for clearing at any time. For a complex swap with non-standard terms that regulated clearing agencies cannot accept for clearing, adherence to the Instrument would not require market participants to structure such derivative in a particular manner or disentangle the derivative in order to clear the component which is a mandatory clearable derivative if it serves legitimate business purposes. However, considering that it would not require disentangling, we would expect the component of a packaged transaction that is a mandatory clearable derivative to be cleared.

For a local counterparty that is not a participant of a regulated clearing agency, we have used the phrase “cause to be submitted” to refer to the local counterparty’s obligation. In order to comply with subsection (1), a local counterparty would need to have arrangements in place with a participant for clearing services in advance of entering into a mandatory clearable derivative.

A transaction in a mandatory clearable derivative is required to be cleared when at least one of the counterparties is a local counterparty and one or more of paragraphs (a), (b) or (c) apply to both counterparties. For example, a local counterparty under any of paragraphs (a), (b) or (c) must clear a mandatory clearable derivative entered into with another local counterparty under any of paragraphs (a), (b) or (c). As a further example, a local counterparty under any of paragraphs (a), (b) or (c) must also clear a mandatory clearable derivative with a foreign counterparty under paragraphs (a) or (b). For instance, a local counterparty that is an affiliated entity of a foreign participant would be subject to mandatory central counterparty clearing for a mandatory clearable derivative with a foreign counterparty that is an affiliated entity of another foreign participant considering that there is one local counterparty to the transaction and both counterparties ~~respect~~meet the criteria under paragraph (b).

An investment fund or a trust would not be subject to the Instrument unless the investment fund or the trust is a participant under paragraph (a).

A local counterparty that has not had a month-end gross notional amount of outstanding derivatives exceeding the 1 billion threshold in ~~paragraphs~~subparagraph (b)(iii) or (c)(iv), for any month following the entry into force of the Instrument, would not be subject to the Instrument.

Pursuant to paragraph (c) a local counterparty that is not an investment fund or a trust and that has had a month-end gross notional amount of outstanding derivatives that exceeds the 500 billion threshold in subparagraph (c)(iii) must clear~~all its subsequent transactions in~~ a

mandatory clearable derivative entered into with another counterparty that meets the criteria under ~~one or more of~~ paragraphs (a), (b), or (c). In order to determine whether the 500 billion threshold in subparagraph (c)(iii) is exceeded, a local counterparty must add the gross notional amount of all outstanding derivatives of its affiliated entities that are also local counterparties, to its own. However, investments funds and trusts that are affiliated entities of the local counterparty are not included in the calculation.

Where a local counterparty is a member of a group of affiliated entities that exceeds the 500 billion threshold but that local counterparty is not itself over the 1 billion threshold in subparagraph (c)(iv), it is not required to clear.

The calculation of the gross notional amount outstanding under paragraphs (b) and (c) excludes derivatives with affiliated entities whose financial statements are prepared on a consolidated basis, ~~which would be exempted under section 7 if they were mandatory clearable derivatives. In addition, a local counterparty determines whether it exceeds the threshold in paragraph (c) by adding the gross notional amount of all outstanding derivatives of its affiliated entities that are also local counterparties, to its own.~~

A local counterparty that is a participant at a regulated clearing agency, but does not subscribe to clearing services for the class of derivatives to which the mandatory clearable derivative belongs would still be required to clear if it is subject to paragraph (c).

A local counterparty subject to mandatory central counterparty clearing that engages in a mandatory clearable derivative is responsible for determining whether the other counterparty is also subject to mandatory central counterparty clearing. To do so, the local counterparty may rely on the factual statements made by the other counterparty, provided that it does not have reasonable grounds to believe that such statements are false.

We would not expect that all the counterparties of a local counterparty provide their status as most counterparties would not be subject to the Instrument. However, a local counterparty cannot rely on the absence of a declaration from a counterparty to avoid the requirement to clear. Instead, when no information is provided by a counterparty, the local counterparty may use factual statements or available information to assess whether the mandatory clearable derivative is required to be cleared in accordance with the Instrument.

We would expect counterparties subject to the Instrument to exercise reasonable judgement in determining whether a person or company may be near or above the thresholds set out in paragraphs (b) and (c). We would expect a counterparty subject to the Instrument to solicit confirmation from its counterparty where there is reasonable basis to believe that the counterparty may be near or above any of the thresholds.

The status of a counterparty under this subsection should be determined before entering into a mandatory clearable derivative. We would not expect a local counterparty to clear a mandatory clearable derivative entered into after the Instrument came into effect, but before one of the counterparties was captured under one of paragraphs (a), (b) or (c) unless there is a material amendment to the derivative.

### **Subsection 3(2) – 90-day transition**

This subsection provides that only transactions in mandatory clearable derivatives executed on or after the 90<sup>th</sup> day after the end of the month in which the local counterparty first exceeded the threshold are subject to subsection 3(1). We do not intend that transactions executed between the 1<sup>st</sup> day on which the local counterparty became subject to subsection 3(1) and the 90<sup>th</sup> day be back-loaded after the 90<sup>th</sup> day.

### **Subsection 3(3) – Submission to a regulated clearing agency**

We would expect that a transaction subject to mandatory central counterparty clearing be submitted to a regulated clearing agency as soon as practicable, but no later than the end of the day on which the transaction was executed or if the transaction occurs after business hours of the regulated clearing agency, the next business day.

### **Subsection 3(5) – Substituted compliance**

Substituted compliance is only available to a local counterparty that is a foreign affiliated entity of a counterparty organized under the laws of the local jurisdiction or with a head office or principal place of business in the local jurisdiction and that is responsible for all or substantially all the liabilities of the affiliated entity. The local counterparty would still be subject to the Instrument, but its mandatory clearable derivatives, as per the definition under the Instrument, may be cleared at a clearing agency pursuant to a foreign law listed in Appendix B if the counterparty is subject to and compliant with that foreign law.

Despite the ability to clear pursuant to a foreign law listed in Appendix B, the local counterparty is still required to fulfill the other requirements in the Instrument, as applicable. These include the retention period for the record keeping requirement and the submission of a completed Form 94-101F1 *Intragroup Exemption* to the regulator or securities regulatory authority in a jurisdiction of Canada when relying on an exemption regarding mandatory clearable derivatives entered into with an affiliated entity.

## **PART 3 EXEMPTIONS FROM MANDATORY CENTRAL COUNTERPARTY CLEARING**

### **Section 6 – Non-application**

A mandatory clearable derivative involving a counterparty that is an entity referred to in section 6 is not subject to the requirement under section 3 to submit a mandatory clearable derivative for clearing even if the other counterparty is otherwise subject to it.

The expression “government of a foreign jurisdiction” in paragraph (a) is interpreted as including sovereign and sub-sovereign governments.

## **Section 7 – Intragroup exemption**

The Instrument does not require an outward-facing transaction in a mandatory clearable derivative entered into by a foreign counterparty that meets paragraph 3(1)(a) or (b) to be cleared in order for the foreign counterparty and its affiliated entity that is a local counterparty subject to the Instrument to rely on this exemption. However, we would expect a local counterparty to not abuse this exemption in order to evade mandatory central counterparty clearing. It would be considered evasion if the local counterparty uses a foreign affiliated entity or another member of its group to enter into a mandatory clearable derivative with a foreign counterparty that meets paragraph 3(1)(a) or (b) and then do a back-to-back transaction or enter into the same derivative relying on the intragroup exemption where the local counterparty would otherwise have been required to clear the mandatory clearable derivative if it had entered into it directly with the non-affiliated counterparty.

### **Subsection 7(1) – Requisite conditions for intragroup exemption**

The intragroup exemption is based on the premise that the risk created by mandatory clearable derivatives entered into between counterparties in the same group is expected to be managed in a centralized manner to allow for the risk to be identified and managed appropriately.

This subsection sets out the conditions that must be met for the counterparties to use the intragroup exemption for a mandatory clearable derivative.

The expression “consolidated financial statements” in paragraph (a) is interpreted as financial statements in which the assets, liabilities, equity, income, expenses and cash flows of each of the counterparty and the affiliated entity are consolidated as part of a single economic entity.

Affiliated entities may rely on paragraph (a) for a mandatory clearable derivative as soon as they meet the criteria to consolidate their financial statements together. Indeed, we would not expect affiliated entities to wait until their next financial statements are produced to benefit from this exemption if they will be consolidated.

If the consolidated financial statements referred to in paragraph 7(1)(a) are not prepared in accordance with IFRS, Canadian GAAP or U.S. GAAP, we would expect that the consolidated financial statements be prepared in accordance with the generally accepted accounting principles of a foreign jurisdiction where one or more of the affiliated entities has a significant connection, such as where the head office or principal place of business of one or both of the affiliated entities, or their parent, is located.

Paragraph (c) refers to a system of risk management policies and procedures designed to monitor and manage the risks associated with a mandatory clearable derivative. We expect that such procedures would be regularly reviewed. We are of the view that counterparties

relying on this exemption may structure their centralized risk management according to their unique needs, provided that the program reasonably monitors and manages risks associated with non-centrally cleared derivatives. We would expect that, for a risk management program to be considered centralized, the evaluation, measurement and control procedures would be applied by a counterparty to the mandatory clearable derivative or an affiliated entity of both counterparties to the derivative.

Paragraph (d) refers to the terms governing the trading relationship between the affiliated entities for the mandatory clearable derivative that is not cleared as a result of the intragroup exemption. We would expect that the written agreement be dated and signed by the affiliated entities. An ISDA master agreement, for instance, would be acceptable.

### **Subsection 7(2) – Submission of Form 94-101F1**

Within 30 days after two affiliated entities first rely on the intragroup exemption in respect of a mandatory clearable derivative, a local counterparty must deliver, or cause to be delivered, to the regulator or securities regulatory authority a completed Form 94-101F1 *Intragroup Exemption* (“Form 94-101F1”) to notify the regulator or securities regulatory authority that the exemption is being relied upon. The information provided in the Form 94-101F1 will aid the regulator or securities regulatory authority in better understanding the legal and operational structure allowing counterparties to benefit from the intragroup exemption. The parent or the entity responsible to perform the centralized risk management for the affiliated entities using the intragroup exemption may deliver the completed Form 94-101F1 on behalf of the affiliated entities. For greater clarity, a completed Form 94-101F1 could be delivered for the group by including each pairing of counterparties that seek to rely on the intragroup exemption. One completed Form 94-101F1 is valid for every mandatory clearable derivative between any pair of counterparties listed on the completed Form 94-101F1 provided that the requirements set out in subsection (1) are complied with.

### **Subsection 7(3) – Amendments to Form 94-101F1**

Examples of changes to the information provided that would require an amended Form 94-101F1 include: (i) a change in the control structure of one or more of the counterparties listed in Form 94-101F1, and (ii) the addition of a new local jurisdiction for a counterparty. This form may also be delivered by an agent.

## **Section 8 – Multilateral portfolio compression exemption**

A multilateral portfolio compression exercise involves more than two counterparties who wholly change or terminate some or all of their existing derivatives submitted for inclusion in the exercise and replace those derivatives with, depending on the methodology employed, other derivatives whose combined notional amount, or some other measure of risk, is less than the combined notional amount, or some other measure of risk, of the derivatives replaced by the exercise.

The purpose of a multilateral portfolio compression exercise is to reduce operational or counterparty credit risk by reducing the number or notional amounts of outstanding derivatives between counterparties and the aggregate gross number or notional amounts of outstanding derivatives.

Under paragraph (c), the existing derivatives submitted for inclusion in the exercise were not cleared either because they did not include a mandatory clearable derivative or because they were entered into before the class of derivatives became a mandatory clearable derivative or because the counterparty was not subject to the Instrument.

We would expect a local counterparty involved in a multilateral portfolio compression exercise to comply with its credit risk tolerance levels. To do so, we expect a participant to the exercise to set its own counterparty, market and cash payment risk tolerance levels so that the exercise does not alter the risk profiles of each participant beyond a level acceptable to the participant. Consequently, we would expect existing derivatives that would be reasonably likely to significantly increase the risk exposure of the participant to not be included in the multilateral portfolio compression exercise in order for this exemption to be available.

We would generally expect that a mandatory clearable derivative resulting from the multilateral portfolio compression exercise would have the same material terms as the derivatives that were replaced with the exception of reducing the number or notional amount of outstanding derivatives.

### **Section 9 – Recordkeeping**

We would generally expect that reasonable supporting documentation kept in accordance with section 9 would include complete records of any analysis undertaken by the local counterparty to demonstrate it satisfies the conditions necessary to rely on the intragroup exemption under section 7 or the multilateral portfolio compression exemption under section 8, as applicable.

A local counterparty subject to the mandatory central counterparty clearing requirement is responsible for determining whether, given the facts available, an exemption is available. Generally, we would expect a local counterparty relying on an exemption to retain all documents that show it properly relied on the exemption. It is not appropriate for a local counterparty to assume an exemption is available.

Counterparties using the intragroup exemption under section 7 should have appropriate legal documentation between them and detailed operational material outlining the risk management techniques used by the overall parent entity and its affiliated entities with respect to the mandatory clearable derivatives benefiting from the exemption.

## **PART 4 MANDATORY CLEARABLE DERIVATIVES**

and

**PART 6  
TRANSITION AND EFFECTIVE DATE**

**Section 10 – Submission of Form 94-101F2 & Section 12 – Transition for the submission of Form 94-101F2**

A regulated clearing agency must deliver a Form 94-101F2 *Derivatives Clearing Services* (“Form 94-101F2”) to identify all derivatives for which it provides clearing services within 30 days of the coming into force of the Instrument pursuant to section 12. A new derivative or class of derivatives added to the offering of clearing services after the Instrument is in force is declared through a Form 94-101F2 within 10 days of the launch of such service pursuant to section 10.

Each regulator or securities regulatory authority has the power to determine by rule or otherwise which derivative or class of derivatives will be subject to mandatory central counterparty clearing. Furthermore, the CSA may consider the information required by Form 94-101F2 to determine whether a derivative or class of derivatives will be subject to mandatory central counterparty clearing.

In the course of determining whether a derivative or class of derivatives will be subject to mandatory central counterparty clearing, the factors we will consider include the following:

- (e) the derivative is available to be cleared on a regulated clearing agency;
- (f) the level of standardization of the derivative, such as the availability of electronic processing, the existence of master agreements, product definitions and short form confirmations;
- (g) the effect of central clearing of the derivative on the mitigation of systemic risk, taking into account the size of the market for the derivative and the available resources of the regulated clearing agency to clear the derivative;
- (h) whether mandating the derivative or class of derivatives to be cleared would bring undue risk to regulated clearing agencies;
- (i) the outstanding notional amount of the counterparties transacting in the derivative or class of derivatives, the current liquidity in the market for the derivative or class of derivatives, the concentration of participants active in the market for the derivative or class of derivatives, and the availability of reliable and timely pricing data;
- (j) the existence of third-party vendors providing pricing services;



- (k) with regards to a regulated clearing agency, the existence of an appropriate rule framework, and the existence of capacity, operational expertise and resources, and credit support infrastructure to clear the derivative on terms that are consistent with the material terms and trading conventions on which the derivative is traded;
- (l) whether a regulated clearing agency would be able to manage the risk of the additional derivatives that might be submitted due to the mandatory central counterparty clearing requirement determination;
- (m) the effect on competition, taking into account appropriate fees and charges applied to clearing, and whether mandating clearing of the derivative could harm competition;
- (n) alternative derivatives or clearing services co-existing in the same market;
- (o) the public interest.

**FORM 94-101F1**  
***INTRAGROUP EXEMPTION***

**Submission of information on intragroup transactions by a local counterparty**

In paragraph (a) of item 1 in section 2, we refer to information required under section 28 of the TR Instrument.

We intend to keep the forms delivered by or on behalf of a local counterparty under the Instrument confidential in accordance with the provisions of the applicable legislation. We are of the view that the forms generally contain proprietary information, and that the cost and potential risks of disclosure for the counterparties to an intragroup transaction outweigh the benefit of the principle requiring that forms be made available for public inspection.

While we intend for Form 94-101F1 and any amendments to it to be kept generally confidential, if the regulator or securities regulatory authority considers that it is in the public interest to do so, it may require the public disclosure of a summary of the information contained in such form, or amendments to it.

**FORM 94-101F2**  
***DERIVATIVES CLEARING SERVICES***

**Submission of information on clearing services of derivatives by the regulated clearing agency**

Paragraphs (a), (b) and (c) of item 2 in section 2 address the potential for a derivative or class of derivatives to be a mandatory clearable derivative given its level of standardization in terms of market conventions, including legal documentation, processes and procedures, and whether pre- to post- transaction operations are carried out predominantly by electronic means. The standardization of economic terms is a key input in the determination process.

In paragraph (a) of item 2 in section 2, “life-cycle events” has the same meaning as in section 1 of the TR Instrument.

Paragraphs (d) and (e) of item 2 in section 2 provide details to assist in assessing the market characteristics such as the activity (volume and notional amount) of a particular derivative or class of derivatives, the nature and landscape of the market for that derivative or class of derivatives and the potential impact its determination as a mandatory clearable derivative could have on market participants, including the regulated clearing agency. Assessing whether a derivative or class of derivatives should be a mandatory clearable derivative may involve, in terms of liquidity and price availability, considerations that are different from, or in addition to, the considerations used by the regulator or securities regulatory authority in permitting a regulated clearing agency to offer clearing services for a derivative or class of derivatives. Stability in the availability of pricing information will also be an important factor considered in the determination process. Metrics, such as the total number of transactions and aggregate notional amounts and outstanding positions, can be used to justify the confidence and frequency with which the pricing of a derivative or class of derivatives is calculated. We expect that the data presented cover a reasonable period of time of no less than 6 months. Suggested information to be provided on the market includes:

- (c) statistics regarding the percentage of activity of participants on their own behalf and for customers,
- (d) average net and gross positions including the direction of positions (long or short), by type of market participant submitting mandatory clearable derivatives directly or indirectly, and
- (e) average trading activity and concentration of trading activity among participants by type of market participant submitting mandatory clearable derivatives directly or indirectly to the regulated clearing agency.



## ANNEX E

### LOCAL MATTERS - ONTARIO

#### **Legislative Authority for Rule Making**

The proposed amendments to National Instrument 94-101 *Mandatory Central Counterparty Clearing of Derivatives* (the “National Instrument”) will come into force under the rulemaking authority provided under subparagraph 143(1) of the Securities Act. Subparagraph 35(iii) authorizes the Ontario Securities Commission to make rules that make requirements in respect of margin, collateral, capital, clearing and settlement.

#### **Alternatives Considered by Commission**

No alternatives were considered.

#### **Anticipated Costs and Benefits**

We believe that the impact of the proposed amendments is to reduce the costs of compliance with the National Instrument and do not result in a material burden on market participants.

Document comparison by Workshare Compare on 11 septembre 2017 11:13:52

Input:	
Document 1 ID	file://C:\Users\marilaur\Desktop\Golden copies clearing\amendements\amending instrument\envoi comité - 29 août\Package for publication_NI 94-101 amendments.docx
Description	Package for publication_NI 94-101 amendments
Document 2 ID	file://C:\Users\marilaur\Desktop\Golden copies clearing\amendements\amending instrument\envoi comité, fully reliant et traduction - 11 sept\Package for publication_NI 94-101 amendments.docx
Description	Package for publication_NI 94-101 amendments
Rendering set	Standard

Legend:	
	<u>Insertion</u>
	<del>Deletion</del>
	Moved from
	Moved to
	Style change
	Format change
	Moved deletion
Inserted cell	
Deleted cell	
Moved cell	
Split/Merged cell	
Padding cell	

Statistics:	
	Count
Insertions	14
Deletions	8
Moved from	0
Moved to	0
Style change	0
Format changed	0
Total changes	22